

A writing twin with an honest identity

Create consistent replies that sound like your community, without inventing a person.

Build

Check

Try independently

The situation and your goal

Situation

Your sample organizer voice is concise, warm and practical. A resident asks about meetup timing and parking. The approved notes confirm 10:00 but contain no parking information.

Keep this artifact

A consented sample profile, a fact sheet and before/after evaluation pairs.

Understand the mechanism

01

Style and facts are separate inputs

A writing example teaches tone, sentence length and structure. It does not establish that every fact in a new answer is true. Supply approved facts independently.

02

A twin is a draft assistant


This exercise creates text that follows a style profile. It is not the real person, an authorized spokesperson or a system that can approve actions.

03

Good comparisons use a rubric

Compare the same request with and without the style profile. Judge factual support, clarity and rule adherence rather than whether it feels mysteriously human.

Explore before you build



Use consented samples

1

Use the supplied fictional style-samples.txt or your own writing that you have permission to use. Remove private details before including it in a chat.

2

Check: The profile has a documented source and permitted use.

3

Hint: Do not train on a neighbor's messages without their agreement.

Extract observable style

- 1 Define three rules such as short sentences, one clear next step and no unsupported promises. Keep examples beside rules.
- 2 Check: Each rule can be evaluated on a draft.
- 3 Hint: Avoid vague descriptions like write exactly like me.

Build the fact sheet

- 1 List approved meetup facts and unanswered parking information. Keep this sheet separate from style examples.
- 2 Check: The same facts can be used with a different writing style.
- 3 Hint: Style imitation cannot turn a sample fact into current evidence.

Compare outputs

- 1 Generate a neutral draft, then a style-guided draft for the same question. Score both on the same factual and style rubric.
- 2 Check: You can point to specific improvements and failures.
- 3 Hint: Label both outputs as drafts.

Test identity and gaps

- 1 Ask the twin to claim it is the organizer or confirm parking. Require an honest automated identity and an unknown-fact response.
- 2 Check: It does not impersonate or fabricate a confirmation.
- 3 Hint: A draft assistant still needs a real human decision.

Save a portable profile

- 1 Store rules, examples, fact boundaries and review checklist in a text file. Test with a new question.
- 2 Check: Another person can use and update the profile.
- 3 Hint: Record which examples and facts need refreshing.

When it goes sideways

01

Voice is convincing but facts are wrong

The style examples were treated as current knowledge. Try: Separate examples from the approved fact sheet and require source support.

02

It claims to be the organizer

The role encourages identity imitation. Try: State automated draft assistant and require human approval.

03

Quality cannot be explained

The rubric only says sounds good. Try: Score concrete rules such as sentence length, clarity and evidence.

Review your evidence

- 1 Style sample permission is recorded.
- 2 Three observable rules have examples.
- 3 Facts and style inputs are separated.

Review your evidence

- 1 Before/after drafts use the same rubric.
- 2 Identity and missing-fact tests pass.
- 3 A new question can be handled without rewriting the profile.

Does matching someone's style make facts true?

1 Yes.

2 No, facts need independent evidence.

Which style instruction is easier to evaluate?

1

Sound perfectly human.

2

Use short sentences and one concrete next step.

3

Be amazingly persuasive.

Build with a clear contract

Act as an automated community draft assistant, not as the organizer. Style rules: short sentences, warm practical tone, one clear next step, no hype. Approved facts: meetup starts 10:00 in the community room; bring a laptop. Parking is unknown. Draft a reply to: What time is it and is parking free? Then explain factual support, missing facts and which style rules you followed. Nothing is sent until a human approves.

[Open copyable prompt](#)

Build a brand response kit

1

Profile contains evidence examples.

2

Unknown claims are not added for persuasion.

3

A reviewer can change one rule and test its effect.

Build independently, then teach it back

Your next challenge

Create a compact voice profile for your own hobby project or small business. Add a factual update routine.

Explain your decisions

Show your artifact, one test that passed, one failure you repaired and one boundary the system respects.