

Automate one clear decision.

Route a fictional request to review or the normal queue.

Build one thing

Check it works

Choose an extension

Before you start.

01

You already know

A facilitator-prepared n8n instance. No AI model needed.

02

Open these tools

n8n workflow editor with permission to create test workflows.

03

Check the requirement

Hosting/cloud terms vary. Use a prepared workshop instance; no paid model call.

Your first small win.

Sketch a tiny workflow: manually start, create a fictional request with urgent=true, send urgent requests to review and others to the normal queue. Name the inputs and the expected output. Do not add AI or external messages.

[Open copyable prompt](#)

What happens between input and output?

- 1 A trigger starts the workflow.
- 2 Fields carry the data between steps.
- 3 An If node follows a rule you can explain.

Step 01. Create a test workflow.

- 1 Start a new workflow named request-router.
- 2 Add Manual Trigger.
- 3 Execute it and inspect the output panel.

Step 02. Add a fictional request.

- 1 Connect Edit Fields (Set).
- 2 Set request to Room booking and urgent to Boolean true.
- 3 Run this node and check both values.

Step 03. Add the rule.

- 1 Connect an If node after Edit Fields.
- 2 Use the Boolean value `{{ $json.urgent }}`.
- 3 Set the condition to is true.

Step 04. Name both outputs.

- 1 On true, add Edit Fields with route=review.
- 2 On false, add Edit Fields with route=normal.
- 3 Keep the request field in each output.

Step 05. Test both branches.

- 1 Run with urgent=true and expect review.
- 2 Change urgent to false and expect normal.
- 3 Use Boolean values, not the text true or false.

Step 06. Save the working version.

- 1 Name the nodes clearly.
- 2 Save the workflow and export its JSON if permitted.
- 3 Keep it manual; there is nothing to publish yet.

Make the working version.

Write a manual test table for my request router. It uses a Boolean urgent field: true means review, false means normal. Include a missing-field case and a wrong-type case. Do not claim the workflow was executed.

[Open copyable prompt](#)

Ask for a review. Then check it.

Check my workflow design for missing fields, text-versus-Boolean mistakes and dropped request data. Give one correction at a time. Design: Manual Trigger → Edit Fields → If → review or normal Edit Fields.

[Open copyable prompt](#)

Prove your result works.

- 1 True reaches review; false reaches normal.
- 2 Request text survives routing.
- 3 Wrong types and missing fields are handled deliberately.

When it does not work yet.

01

Always the same branch

Inspect the field type and If expression.

02

Request disappeared

Keep input fields or map them again in Edit Fields.

Choose your next challenge.

01

Core complete

Show one checked output to a partner.

02

Extension 1

Add a third priority without using AI.

03

Extension 2

Import another request and test the rule on it.

Keep the result. Keep the evidence.

Route a fictional request to review or the normal queue.

Save your files

Save your prompt

Name the next change

Sources you can check.

01

n8n

Edit Fields

02

n8n

If node